Sabrina Kotter-Seel

Sensorial and analytical profiling of orange juice and apple juice

Development and validation of shelf-life prediction models



Herbert Utz Verlag · München

Analytische Chemie



Zugl.: Diss., München, Techn. Univ., 2017

This thesis was part of a cooperative project between Technische Universität München, Fakultät Wissenschaftszentrum Weihenstephan für Ernährung, Landnutzung und Umwelt, Lehrstuhl für Allgemeine Lebensmitteltechnologie and Symrise AG, Flavor Division, BU Beverages EAME, Nördlingen

Titelabbildung: © Warakorn / Fotolia

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek: Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.d-nb.de abrufbar.

Dieses Werk ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Die dadurch begründeten Rechte, insbesondere die der Übersetzung, des Nachdrucks, der Entnahme von Abbildungen, der Wiedergabe auf fotomechanischem oder ähnlichem Wege und der Speicherung in Datenverarbeitungsanlagen bleiben – auch bei nur auszugsweiser Verwendung – vorbehalten.

Copyright © Herbert Utz Verlag GmbH · 2018

ISBN 978-3-8316-4698-2

Printed in EU Herbert Utz Verlag GmbH, München 089-277791-00 · www.utzverlag.de II INDEX OF CONTENT

INDEX OF CONTENT

| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | ı |
|--|----------|
| NDEX OF CONTENT | П |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | VI |
| GENERAL REMARKS | /III |
| LINTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES | 1 |
| 2 BACKGROUND | 3 |
| 2.1 Accelerated shelf-life testing (ASLT) | 3 |
| 2.1.1 Practical application - accelerated storage conditions | 3 |
| 2.1.2 Evaluation strategies | 4 |
| 2.1.3 Uncertainty of measurement | 5 |
| 2.2 Market analysis | 6 |
| 2.3 Legal requirements for juices | 7 |
| 2.4 Orange Juice | 7 |
| 2.4.1 Juice production | 7 |
| 2.4.2 Flavour profile | 8 |
| 2.4.3 Changes in the volatiles profile during storage | 9 |
| 2.4.4 Existing shelf-life prediction models | 10 |
| 2.5 Apple Juice | 12 |
| 2.5.1 Apple varieties and fruit ripening | 12 |
| 2.5.2 Juice production | 12 |
| 2.5.3 Flavour profile | 13 |
| 2.5.4 Analytical indices | 14 |
| 2.5.4.1 Sum of esters | 14 |
| 2.5.4.2 Sum of C6-aldehydes and -alcohols 2.5.4.3 Aroma index | 15 15 |
| 2.5.4.3 Aroma index 2.5.5 Existing shelf-life prediction models | 16 |
| | |
| , | 17 |
| 2.6.1 Exploratory statistical approaches 2.6.1.1 Spider charts and xy-diagrams as univariate exploratory statistical | 17 |
| approaches | 17 |
| 2.6.1.2 Principal component analysis (PCA) as multivariate exploratory | 1, |
| statistical approach | 17 |
| 2.6.1.3 Multiple factor analysis (MFA) as multivariate exploratory statistical | |
| approach | 18 |
| 2.6.1.4 Cluster analysis as multivariate exploratory statistical approach | 19 |
| 2.6.2 Confirmatory statistical approaches | 20 |
| 2.6.2.1 ANOVA as univariate confirmatory statistical approach | 20 |
| 2.6.2.2 Tukey's HSD post-hoc test as univariate confirmatory statistical | |
| approach | 22 |
| 2.6.2.3 Mahalanobis distance as multivariate confirmatory statistical | |
| approach | 23 |

INDEX OF CONTENT III

| | 2.7 Arrhenius kinetic | 23 |
|---|---|----------|
| | 2.7.1 Order of reaction and reaction rate | 24 |
| | 2.7.2 Determination of the acceleration factor | 25 |
| | 2.7.3 Determination of the activation energy | 26 |
| 3 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 27 |
| | 3.1 Juice samples | 27 |
| | 3.2 Chemicals/Gases | 27 |
| | 3.3 Equipment | 27 |
| | 3.4 Sensorial evaluation | 28 |
| | 3.4.1 Quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA) | 28 |
| | 3.4.1.1 Selection of descriptors for QDA questionnaire | 28 |
| | 3.4.1.2 Evaluation protocol | 29 |
| | 3.4.2 Degree of difference (DOD) testing | 30 |
| | 3.5 Determination of the composition of volatiles | 30 |
| | 3.5.1 Sample extraction procedures | 30 |
| | 3.5.1.1 Stir bar sorptive extraction (SBSE) | 30 |
| | 3.5.1.2 Simultaneous-distillation-extraction (SDE) | 30 |
| | 3.5.2 Gas chromatography – mass spectrometry (GC-MS) | 31 |
| | 3.5.2.1 GC-MS system 1 with thermal desorption unit (TDU) | 31 |
| | 3.5.2.2 GC-MS system 2 | 31 |
| | 3.5.2.3 Multi-dimensional gas chromatography (MDGC) | 32 |
| | 3.5.2.4 Identification and quantitation of volatile components 3.5.3 Determination of essential oils (Bromate Method) | 32 34 |
| | | |
| | 3.6 Chemical-physical parameters | 34 |
| | 3.6.1 pH-value and titratable acidity | 34 |
| | 3.6.2 Relative density 3.6.3 Refraction index expressed as °Brix | 35 35 |
| | · | |
| | 3.7 Statistical analysis | 35 |
| 4 | ORANGE JUICE – RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 37 |
| | 4.1 Experimental test design | 37 |
| | 4.2 Sensorial evaluation | 37 |
| | 4.2.1 Evaluation strategy for the development of the sensorial prediction model | 37 |
| | 4.2.2 Exploratory statistical approaches | 39 |
| | 4.2.2.1 Spider charts | 39 |
| | 4.2.2.2 PCA | 47 |
| | 4.2.2.3 Cluster analysis | 51 |
| | 4.2.3 Confirmatory statistical approaches 4.2.3.1 ANOVA | 54 54 |
| | 4.2.3.1 ANOVA 4.2.3.2 Tukey's HSD post-hoc test | 54 62 |
| | 4.2.3.3 Mahalanobis distance | 72 |
| | 4.2.4 Derivation of the sensory-related prediction model | 75 |
| | 4.3 Composition of volatiles | 79 |
| | | |

IV INDEX OF CONTENT

| | 4.3.1 Evaluation strategy for the development of the volatiles-related prediction | |
|---|---|----------|
| | model | 79 |
| | 4.3.2 Volatile components | 80 |
| | 4.3.3 Exploratory statistical approaches | 84 |
| | 4.3.3.1 PCA | 84 |
| | 4.3.3.2 Cluster analysis | 89 |
| | 4.3.3.3 Xy-diagrams (kinetic behaviour) | 91 92 |
| | 4.3.3.3.1 Terpenes 4.3.3.3.2 Phenolic components | 114 |
| | 4.3.3.3.3 Aldeyhdes | 114 |
| | 4.3.3.3.4 Esters | 118 |
| | 4.3.3.3.5 Alcohols | 119 |
| | 4.3.4 Arrhenius approach | 120 |
| | 4.3.4.1 Reaction orders and reaction rates k(T) | 120 |
| | 4.3.4.2 Acceleration factors (AFs) | 123 |
| | 4.3.5 Mahalanobis distance | 126 |
| | 4.3.6 Derivation of the volatiles-related prediction model | 130 |
| | 4.4 Final prediction model including sensorial and analytical aspects | 132 |
| | 4.4.1 Evaluation strategy for the final prediction model | 132 |
| | 4.4.2 MFA for combination of sensorial and volatile data sets | 133 |
| | 4.4.3 Derivation of the final prediction model | 136 |
| | 4.5 Validation of the prediction model by using market samples | 138 |
| | 4.5.1 Experimental test design | 138 |
| | 4.5.2 Sensorial evaluation: spider charts | 139 |
| | 4.5.3 Volatile components: Kinetic behaviour and Arrhenius approach | 141 |
| | 4.5.4 Final validation of the prediction model | 147 |
| 5 | APPLE JUICE – RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 149 |
| | 5.1 Experimental test design | 149 |
| | 5.2 Sensorial evaluation | 149 |
| | 5.2.1 Exploratory statistical approaches | 150 |
| | 5.2.1.1 Spider charts | 150 |
| | 5.2.1.2 PCA | 155 |
| | 5.2.1.3 Cluster analysis | 159 |
| | 5.2.2 Confirmatory statistical approaches | 162 |
| | 5.2.2.1 ANOVA | 162 |
| | 5.2.2.2 Tukey's HSD post-hoc test | 166 |
| | 5.2.2.3 Mahalanobis distance | 176 |
| | 5.2.3 Derivation of the sensory-related prediction model | 178 |
| | 5.3 Composition of volatiles | 180 |
| | 5.3.1 Volatile components | 180 |
| | 5.3.2 Exploratory statistical approaches | 183 |
| | 5.3.2.1 PCA | 183 |
| | 5.3.2.2 Xy-diagrams (kinetic behaviour) | 185 |
| | 5.3.2.2.1 Esters | 186 |
| | 5.3.2.2.2 Acids | 189 |

INDEX OF CONTENT V

| 5.3.2.2.3 Aldehydes | 190 |
|--|-------------------|
| 5.3.2.2.4 Alcohols | 190 |
| 5.3.3 Arrhenius approach | 191 |
| 5.3.3.1 Reaction orders and reaction rates k(T) | 192 |
| 5.3.3.2 Acceleration factors (AFs) | 193 |
| 5.3.4 Derivation of the volatiles-related prediction model | 195 |
| 5.4 Final prediction model including sensorial and analytical aspects5.4.1 MFA for combination of sensorial and volatile data sets5.4.2 Derivation of the final prediction model | 196 196 199 |
| 5.5 Validation of the prediction model by using apple-specific indices 5.5.1 Apple-specific indices: Kinetic behaviour and Arrhenius approach 5.5.2 Final validation of the prediction model | 200 200 204 |
| 6 CONCLUDING COMPARISONS OF THE PREDICTION MODELS DEVELOPED FOR ORANGE JUICE AND APPLE JUICE | 206 |
| 7 SUMMARY | 209 |
| 8 ZUSAMMENFASSUNG | 211 |
| 9 REFERENCES | 213 |
| 10 APPENDIX | 222 |
| 11 CURRICULUM VITAE | 249 |
| | |

1 INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

In modern product development processes, newly developed products have to be tested in terms of their analytical and sensorial stability throughout the whole shelf-life partly lasting up to one year or more. A real-time storage at ambient conditions until reaching the best before date is not efficient considering the required time and commercial resources. Therefore, shelf-life studies under accelerated storage conditions are preferred to quickly obtain an estimation of the product stability. This so-called accelerated shelf-life testing (ASLT), which is typically performed by exposing the product to elevated temperatures and/or light, has become a central step in the usual product development procedure to estimate and to ensure the product stability over the minimal product shelf-life by extrapolating the findings to real-time conditions at ambient temperatures.

This study focused on fruit juices with 100 % fruit juice content containing flavouring from the named fruit (FTNF). The selected juice matrices were orange juice and apple juice. They are the most consumed fruit juices being manufactured from one type of fruit (A.I.J.N., 2016), and their compositions of volatiles are very different.

In the past, a considerable amount of data has been published on the key volatiles of orange juice (Averbeck & Schieberle, 2009; Buettner & Schieberle, 2001; Seideneck & Schieberle, 2011) and apple juice (Hey et al., 2007; Nikfardjam & Maier, 2010, 2011; Steinhaus et al., 2005). Also the molecular changes including the degradation pathways and the sensorial deterioration have been assessed during normal aging and accelerated storage for orange juice (Bielig et al., 1972; Marcotte et al., 1998; Moshonas & Shaw, 1989, 2000; Petersen et al., 1998; Tatum et al., 1975) and to a lesser extent for apple juice (Wolter, 2011; You et al., 1994). However, these studies were not intended for the application of ASLT and a subsequent development of prediction models.

Whilst for apple juice no literature data regarding storage-related prediction models were available, there are some studies for orange juice with the aim of establishing predictive statements on the basis of an ASLT approach. Petersen et al. (1998) conducted shelf-life testing with normally stored and accelerated stored orange juice and collected data for the volatile components and the sensorial impressions at the same time for a small-sized data set. The most recent investigations with the aim of fingerprinting the volatile fraction in the context of ASLT were done by Wibowo et al. (2015a) for orange juices. However, they did not pursue an integrated approach for analytical and sensorial results and even stated as conclusion that exactly this combination must be the next step.

The objective of this work was the development and establishment of science-based prediction models regarding the stability and shelf-life of orange juice and apple juice. Different stress tests should be compared with real-time storage conditions, and both analytical and sensorial aspects should be taken into account in a holistic approach. In order to achieve this goal, the ASLT was performed by collecting the sensorial profiles by quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA) and by monitoring the kinetic behaviour of volatiles by an untargeted profiling.

For the necessary evaluation and interpretation of this comprehensive set of sensorial and analytical data, an adequate strategy was newly developed in this study. As initial steps, the sensorial data and the kinetics of the volatiles were investigated independently by different complementary univariate and multivariate statistical methods with structure-discovering and structure-confirming purposes. In addition, the kinetic behaviours of the volatiles were followed and expressed by values based on the Arrhenius approach. For the final holistic prediction model, it was a very important and a particular feature of this study to combine the sensory-related and volatiles-related data for receiving evidences for an appropriate or an inappropriate use of different stress test systems in comparison to the real-time storage.